

**WALDO COUNTY COMMISSIONERS COURT SESSION**  
**MAY 11, 2010**

**PRESENT:** Commissioners Amy R. Fowler, Donald P. Berry and William D. Shorey; also present was County Clerk Barbara L. Arseneau.

Commissioner Berry called the meeting to order at 9:00 a.m.

**EMA REPORT:**

Present for this report was Dale Rowley. Also present were Citizens Leslie Umans and Brenda Bonneville. D. Rowley reported the following:

1. Aborn Hill Ribbon Cutting Ceremony: D. Rowley reminded the Commissioners that the ceremony would be at 2:00 p.m. this afternoon and that the Press would be present. The only unfinished items on this project are installation of stabilizer arms on the antennas, which will help them move less and last longer, and acquiring a new generator.
2. EMA/Sheriff's PROJECT: WBRC has finished the schematic design and is now beginning the Design Development Stage.
3. D. Rowley reported that the Governor is starting to take funds from the CERC funds. These funds are used for LEPC programs and other programs. If a county has money sitting there, the State may try to take it. Currently there is about \$16,000.00 to \$17,000.00 in Waldo's LEPC account, and it will soon start to be used. D. Rowley recommended putting these funds in a reserve, where they could not be disturbed and could only be used for LEPC-related purposes. He suggested placing the funds in the existing LEPC/Hazmat Account, as money can be charged money to and from this account.
4. Waldo County is the only county that has completed modification on all Police, Fire, EMS and EMA licenses. Waldo County has been in the international news for being furthest ahead on the narrow banding piece and is two years ahead of schedule. Waldo County is 75% complete on radio equipment and upgrades. D. Rowley said he was not sure if the Communications Director needs to replace some mobile units or not.
5. This past Saturday a GAC Chemical Spill Exercise was performed and D. Rowley announced that the Waldo County Decon Strike Team is operational. This is the only team at the present time that does not receive State maintenance money, which may be a good thing as some HAZMAT teams may be shut down because State can't afford to fund them. Waldo County has kept expenses down and has been able to continue on its own.
6. Upcoming Exercises: The Waldo County Technical Center will be offering for the exercise Law Enforcement Students to be officers that will get "taken out." Instead of using active duty Law Enforcement for this piece of the exercise, Waldo County Tech students will be used. The actual Law Enforcement Officers will be used to "take down" the shooter in the end. A. Fowler asked if the students' parents would be notified and D. Rowley said waivers will need to be signed for these students to participate. A different exercise is scheduled for August 28 and 29, 2010. Deputy EMA Director Olga Rumney will be heading up a 24-hour shelter exercise in conjunction with the Waldo County Boy Scouts. They will be the simulated "shelterees."

7. D. Rowley asked for the Commissioners to sign the updated EMA Director Job Description that they had approved during a previous court session, as he did not have a signed copy.

L. Umans asked if she could ask a question of Dale at this time, and D. Berry stated that she could. L. Umans inquired about the traffic study that had been requested and wondered if she could learn of the status of this traffic study. D. Berry responded that the only thing that could be told was that the traffic study is completed. L. Umans said she had been told a few weeks ago by Restorative Justice sources that the Sheriff's House has been gifted to the Restorative Justice. D. Berry responded that no decision has been made at all on this and several scenarios have been looked at for use of the building. A. Fowler asked who had told L. Umans this information, but L. Umans would only say that it was a Restorative Justice source.

D. Rowley explained that the field study report has been done, but then there will be a process done to look at whatever use is identified for that building. D. Rowley explained how this worked. The data they have will be used to figure out what traffic change may take place. Once the County comes up with a use, the rest of that study will be done to show any difference there may be. D. Rowley explained that college students actually sit for a two-hour period of the busiest time of the day and physically count how many cars come in and out. L. Umans and B. Bonneville thanked D. Rowley for explaining this to them.

The Commissioners thanked D. Rowley for meeting with them and expressed congratulations to Olga Rumney for heading up the upcoming 24-hour shelter exercise.

#### **TREASURER'S REPORT:**

Present with the Commissioners was Treasurer David Parkman and Deputy Treasurer Karen Trussell. D. Parkman reported the following:

STATUS OF THE TAX ANTICIPATION NOTE: \$100,000.00 had to be borrowed for Corrections and another \$100,000.00 was borrowed for the General Fund. All together on the General Fund side, \$150,000.00 has been borrowed. There is \$37,327.93 remaining in the Jail account and \$37,859.12 remaining in the General Fund after this warrant and payroll.

#### **REVENUE REPORT:**

44% of anticipated revenue has been received, which is higher than the 34% generally anticipated at this point in the budget year. D. Parkman noted that Probate is down about 9% on Restitution fees. 49% of Deeds Fees have been received to date. D. Parkman reported that this is not as much revenue as in previous years, but "still pretty good."

#### **APPROPRIATIONS:**

The County budget could be 33% expended at this point. The Commissioners budget is at 37% expended. D. Parkman noted that this is because many invoices are paid in first quarter, but noted that the Professional Services line is already 51% expended. Everything else is 29% expended. D. Parkman drew attention to the Communications Center part-time line, describing it as "great" at 18% expended. "This is excellent and really unusual," he noted. He cautioned the Commissioners that a lot of agencies are "right there" in expenditures, so he did not believe there would be much at all left over at the end of the year. K. Trussell said they would be watching departments closely in November to be sure the department does not over-expend its total allocation.

A. Fowler commented that the BOC is trying to come up with a state-wide pretrial system. BOC is trying to create a unified plan with a blanket policy so every county does the same thing with the pretrial services. 20% roughly of the CCA money (revenue) that counties receive is spent on pretrial services. The State wants to take 20% of the CCA money and hold it in their budget, and as money is expended on pretrial services, counties will submit bills to the BOC, who will pay them. "I don't need to remind you that if Aroostook does not see money from the State for their Jail, they will not be able to make payroll," she said. "Counties have done it right for years, but the State does not pay its bills." W. Shorey said he believed this has worked about as well as DIRIGO. A. Fowler agreed that it has been a "disaster." She noted that the latest thing is that three counties don't have it, it is not in their budget and not in their CAP. She added that the Deputy Treasurer has pointed out that if they take 20% out of the revenue, it takes the money out of the CAP, which means counties will have to pay more. K. Trussell expressed concern because counties cannot raise more taxes for this, by law.

D. Parkman said that he has asked for an accounting from the State for the county money that has been turned over to them, but can't seem to get answers. He said he wants an outside, independent audit. There was some discussion about Waldo County doing as it was instructed and paying its CAP amount this year as. There was some discussion on whether or not this should be paid again in the future, but D. Parkman said he was glad Waldo County did as it was supposed to. "Nobody can say we didn't do our part," he stated. He thought the County should pay the proper amount directly to whichever Jail was housing Waldo County inmates. L. Umans asked who would pay for the independent audit. "Not us," D. Parkman replied.

There was lengthy discussion on the topic of the BOC demanding an independent audit from counties, at the counties' expense, but no such audit being required of the BOC. There was also lengthy discussion on the recent Sheriff's Association proposal asking for the fund balance to be sent to them, noting that four MCCA members and three sheriffs sit on this committee. D. Parkman stated that the last June audit showed a balance, but this is in lieu of property taxes that are supposed to be coming in during October. K. Trussell said that the Sheriff's Association is requesting 2% of the fund balance. Waldo is able to keep up to 25% and the State wants the County to turn over 75% of it. A. Fowler noted that the counties are desperately trying to keep county money. Legislation states that whatever is in the fund balance is supposed to stay with the counties but the State is "trying to snap up that money." She has asked, and now counties are allowed to keep a minimal amount of money for Corrections, and the State wants the other 75%. "Now another layer is being created to serve as a group for counties to come to and request money for," she said.

L. Umans asked if the money would co-mingle and was told yes. A. Fowler explained that it would be controlled by the counties, by this assigned group. Once the money went into that pot, it would not be designated for the county that sent it. D. Parkman said he would be going after the \$900,000.00. "If we had saved that, we would be in better shape," he said. A. Fowler said the State has not sent checks to the counties, it appears, because if those counties can make it through on their own, sucking their own accounts dry, the State won't have to provide what it is supposed to.

A. Fowler noted that three more jails are considering mission changes. D. Parkman commended Waldo County Sheriff Scott Story for maintaining a good budget.

D. Parkman read the specific expenditures from the May 28, 2010 and May 11, 2010 reserve accounts payable warrant, which are included in the accounts payable amounts below:

**\*\*D. Berry moved, A. Fowler seconded to authorize payment of the April 28, 2010 Corrections Accounts Payable warrant in the amount of \$25,739.15 as well as the April 15, 2010 and April 29, 2010 Corrections payroll warrant in the amount of \$47,039.93. Unanimous.**

**\*\*D. Berry moved, W. Shorey seconded to authorize payment of the April 28, 2010 General Fund Accounts Payable warrant in the amount of \$112,596.38 and the April 15, 2010 and April 29, 2010 General Payroll warrant in the amount of \$194,993.01. Unanimous.**

**\*\*D. Berry moved, A. Fowler seconded to authorize payment of the April 28, 2010 Capital/Active/Restricted Reserve warrant in the amount of \$43,585.20. Unanimous.**

**\*\*D. Berry moved, W. Shorey seconded to authorize payment of the May 11, 2010 Corrections Accounts Payable warrant in the amount of \$60,506.42 and the May 13, 2010 Corrections Payroll in the amount of \$24,597.47. Unanimous.**

**\*\*D. Berry moved, A. Fowler seconded to authorize payment of the May 11, 2010 General Fund Accounts Payable warrant in the amount of \$78,191.44 and the May 13, 2010 General Payroll in the amount of \$97,758.56. Unanimous.**

**\*\*D. Berry moved, W. Shorey seconded to authorize payment of the May 11, 2010 Restricted Reserve warrant in the amount of \$7,947.34. Unanimous.**

**\*\*W. Shorey moved, A. Fowler seconded to accept the Treasurer's report as presented. Unanimous.**

#### **TECHNOLOGY REPORT:**

Present was Technology Consultant James Arseneau, who submitted material for a presentation that the Commissioners had requested be given to the Department Heads, but there was no quorum for the most recent Department Head meeting so it had to be rescheduled.

J. Arseneau explained that the County has filters in place. He reminded the Commissioners that he gave an initial presentation to the Department Heads regarding the Barracuda Reports back on May 9, 2009. He explained that there are six departmental reports that are sent, noting that the Commissioners and Human Resources/Payroll Director receive all of the reports, whereas the individual departments receive only the report related to their department. He explained that the reports help Department Heads to identify individuals and timeframes that might be non-job-related Internet usage. He explained how each department is set up with individualized Internet access related to the individual department's needs and job functions, base on input received by Department Heads back in 2009.

"Top Users By Bandwidth" was explained. Some have high bandwidth, low requests or low bandwidth and high requests. Most streaming media has been blocked. Large users would have both categories showing high. He used the example of the County Clerk using the computer at the Archive and having to access the emails from District Court, which uses bandwidth. He used another employee's low rating as an example because that person is not in the office much. If employees are sitting on web portals that have changing content and leave the machines on these sites, this creates more bandwidth use. J. Arseneau said he would be recommending that home pages be changed to "Google," as that does not have the movement in the background, therefore using less bandwidth. Internet at courthouses is very slow in comparison with the law enforcement, where outside agencies have to be connected as well.

It was noted that typically there is more bandwidth use in those departments in which there are night shifts and perhaps the employees are not as busy.

J. Arseneau reported that an outside user who has a login to use from the road had recently used that login to log into County's network. A memo has been sent requesting that people not login internally using someone else's machine because would now have access to the County's network, which they do not need and should not have. The Commissioners agreed.

J. Arseneau explained that the Department Heads need to look at the people in their departments and figure out if bandwidth and requests are really related to their job and to be proactive in dealing with this. The reports are to help Department Heads assess the work productivity in their office and can help monitor proper use and improper use. The Department Head should have a conversation with an employee with high usage and determine if it is job-related or not. If there is still a question, a log can be generated that shows which sites an employee has visited. The Commissioners will now be getting a weekly report of all six reports, followed by monthly reports.

S. Story, who was now present, asked if Blackberry phones are always collecting data, which can make it look like someone is sitting on the Internet all the time. J. Arseneau said that this has been changed recently.

J. Arseneau has sent Angela Berube of Cumberland County a communication, as requested by the Commissioners, inquiring when she would be available to meet and review technology matters with him.

In discussion of the use of Facebook, for example, J. Arseneau alerted the Commissioners that one concern is that anything done on County equipment may be need to be kept for discovery purposes.

J. Arseneau told the Commissioners to email him or call him with any questions.

#### **SHERIFF'S REPORT:**

Present for this report was Sheriff Scott Story and Chief Deputy Robert Keating. Before S. Story started to give his report, L. Umans addressed the Sheriff directly and asked for a status update on the project and said she had heard that just the Sheriff's House had been gifted to Restorative Justice. She asked specifically for details related to the use of the building. D. Berry responded, again, that that the Commissioners are still looking at use for the building. He noted that Restorative Justice has spoken about it, "but when you hear statements that you can't even remodel the building, this throws out of gear the recommendation to remodel the Sheriff's Office," he said.

L. Umans stated that this was not accurate. She had suggested that the County look closely at the standards. "Likely it means it has to remain a rabbit warren, but you can update the electricity, etc." she stated. D. Berry explained that the Commissioners were not able to comment further on this. L. Umans said that she supposed this is why there had been more executive sessions, and suggestions are not meant to be "thorns in sides." A. Fowler replied that the suggestions and constructive criticisms are not viewed as a "thorn in the side," and the Commissioners are not trying to be vague, but there are feelers out there and the Commissioners are researching things excessively. A. Fowler expressed concern that information is out there and things are going back and forth that are not accurate. L. Umans said that their neighborhood meetings are open – they don't have closed sessions and the Commissioners are invited. She commented

that if the buildings are used for something the County can utilize, like Restorative Justice, then the setback issues would be addressed. As for the \$125,000.00 – not \$106,000.00 – there would be money there that could be put toward that in lieu of Restorative Justice paying for it. “We are individuals in the group and have different passions. Mine is historic preservation. All of us want to make our voices heard – we’re not a single unit,” she explained. She said she wanted to make clear to their source that Restorative Justice and that use was great, however she was not speaking for the group. “But I still have concerns about the size of the project. We just want to have open and honest communication, the way you would like to have the same treatment.”

A. Fowler stated that other than the Commissioners Court Sessions, the Commissioners are not meeting together to discuss this. D. Berry restated that there is no definite answer at the present time. L. Umans asked if there was a target time to meet with the Planning Board. D. Berry said yes, it would be at the June 23<sup>rd</sup> meeting. A. Fowler expressed concern about the \$125,000.00 figure and wondered where that came from. L. Umans said that she would try to research that.

**\*\*D. Berry moved, A. Fowler seconded to go into Executive Session at 10:11 a.m. for a legal matter according to M.R.S.A. Title § 405 (C) to discuss use of real property. Unanimous.**

**\*\*A. Fowler moved, D. Berry seconded to come out of executive session at 10:26 a.m. Unanimous.**  
No action was taken.

#### **SHERIFF’S REPORT, (Continued)**

1. S. Story asked for recognition of a change in status, which is not a demotion but simply a shift change, explaining that Sergeant James Porter has requested to change from Patrol Sergeant to working the day shift as a Patrol Deputy effective May 29, 2010.

**\*\*A. Fowler moved, W. Shorey seconded to accept James Porter’s request to change from Patrol Sergeant to Patrol Deputy on the day shift, effective May 29, 2010 at a pay rate change from \$22.83 to \$20.83 per hour. Unanimous.**

When asked, S. Story said there was no daytime Sergeant because the Sheriff and Chief Deputy are working during the day.

2. S. Story recommended promoting Deputy James Greeley to fill that vacant Sergeant position effective May 16, 2010 with an overlap for the purpose of training during those two weeks. S. Story noted that J. Greeley was the only applicant for this position.

**\*\*A. Fowler moved, W. Shorey seconded to approve the promotion of Deputy James Greeley to Patrol Sergeant at a pay rate increase from \$18.95 to \$20.86 per hour effective May 16, 2010. Unanimous.**

3. S. Story submitted two checks for room and board paid by residents of the Re-entry Center, totaling \$332.08, stating that he took great pride in presenting them to the Commissioners. He explained that the two residents are employed and will be continuing to pay back the County. A recent scheduled search of the facility, which included drug testing, proved clean, which is highly unheard of and something the Sheriff was very proud of. The programs in the facility are working very well. S. Story also stated that the Garden Program will be a very exciting program and is off to a great start. He thanked Commissioner Shorey for his vision and effort in establishing this project. W. Shorey announced that there will be 3000 linear feet of vegetables and invited the Sheriff and Chief Deputy to sit down and meet in the near future, along with the

VOA staff, to iron out some details. W. Shorey believed it will not involve inordinate amounts of time on the parts of the residents and VOA staff, but is anticipated to be a great success.

4. During the 2010 budget preparation last fall, S. Story had not budgeted for video cameras and a cruiser, because he had intended to apply for a Byrne grant for these items. Recently the application process opened for this grant and after DRG Specialty Services researched it, they learned that the County was not eligible. A. Fowler believed it had been stated that the Commissioners had agreed to pull this from Technology funds if the grant fell through.

**\*\*A. Fowler moved, W. S. seconded to take cover utilize the Technology Reserve to cover this unfunded expenditure. Unanimous.**

(BREAK)

### **HEALTH INSURANCE UPDATE:**

Present with the Commissioners were Health Insurance Administrator Malcolm Ulmer, Human Resources/Payroll Director Michelle Wadsworth and Technology Consultant James Arseneau. M. Ulmer reminded Commissioners that they had met in December to talk about changes in the plan. It had not been possible to implement the \$20,000.00 increase in aggregate on January 1<sup>st</sup> as hoped. He felt that the goal should be to start this on 1/1/2011. Ideally, by no later than the end of September, all issues should be worked out. M. Ulmer stated that the goal should be to pull out of second review (for treating out-of-network claims as in-network claims.) Any time there is a manual review, there is a large margin for error. He claimed that there is more of a straightforward, streamlined review in those counties that don't have that feature, with fewer errors. Ultimately, once this is spelled out and implemented in the future, employees will need to make choices about whether they will stay with out-of-network physicians and pay more out of pocket, or change to in-network doctors.

1. **UNCOVERED EMERGENCY ROOM VISITS ISSUE:** D. Berry said that he wanted to discuss the ER visits. "People get sick 24/7. Doctors work five days a week," he stated. He could see no other alternative for employees. There are no walk-in clinics, and there is no other opportunity in the State of Maine with the possible exception of large cities like Portland. If a note is forwarded by a doctor that the employee had to go to the ER, why doesn't it work?" D. Berry noted he is not enrolled in this plan and knows that if he is ill on a weeknight or weekend, he will be covered.

J. Arseneau said he had a recent situation in which he was ill, his doctor told him that he could not see him for several weeks, and if he did not get better, to go to the emergency room or walk-in clinic. He ended up having to go to the WCGH E.R. WCGH did not bill as an ER visit and Meritain would not cover this. The Hospital tried to work with Meritain and it still didn't work. D. Berry asked how this kind of thing could be avoided. M. Ulmer commented that Jim's claim has now been paid for. In Hancock County, if a visit to an emergency room is not an emergency, it is not covered, period. A doctor can send a letter after first review and the second review would cover it. The risk is that ER visits are much more expensive than any other visit. In Hancock, there is a Med-now Clinic, although he was unsure if it is a 24-hour facility. He noted that the Commissioners could add the referral piece to the plan, which would make for some accountability. It would be "Non-emergent with referral." If the primary care physician will not write a letter, the Commissioners could always intervene and pay it. Any time there is a change in the plan, it must go to the stop-loss company for authorization and a cost-increase estimate. M. Ulmer said this could be implemented as a separate change on 7/1/2010, cautioning that employees will need to understand that this is a different kind of plan, and be educated about proper emergency room use.

W. Shorey felt this could be a good idea and it could be fine-tuned later. A. Fowler agreed. D. Berry stated that he felt this would reduce the issue. M. Ulmer said that they could review this later, and see how it is going. If there is an abuse problem, or if there are a number of people who do not have a primary care physician, which is often the case and often contributes to unnecessary visits to the emergency room, the Commissioners would likely need to re-evaluate the matter at that point.

**\*\*D. Berry moved, A. Fowler seconded to accept implementation of this “Non-emergent with referral” coverage change in the plan effective July 1, 2010. Unanimous.**

D. Berry said, once this has been implemented, the employees need to receive the information telling them how this would work.

2. 2011 RATES: Malcolm said it would be good to think about rates for 2011. Later in the fall of the current year, there would be a better sense of pricing for the next year. M. Ulmer felt that rates should not be tied to the budget, but should be tied to how to make the plan run at a reasonable rate. Any plan changes for January 1, 2011 need to be ready by September 2010.

3. NEW HEALTH CARE LAWS: As information becomes available, M. Ulmer will forward it to the County. Because of timetables in the law, some insurance companies are trying to grab their big increases now. Some have a 40% increase. There will be changes that need to be made in the plan. For example, mental health treatment will be changed so that there are no limits. There will also be no lifetime limit vs. the \$5,000,000.00 currently in the County’s plan. M. Wadsworth said that retirees have to be covered from ages 55 to 65, which starts June 23, 2010. The Commissioners asked M. Ulmer to review this to see how it would affect the County’s plan.

The Commissioners thanked M. Ulmer for meeting with them.

#### **CORRESPONDENCE:**

1. Caremark has sent a check in the amount of \$2,581.60 for prescription reimbursement.
2. Communications Director Owen Smith sent an email notifying the Commissioners that he needs to hire a Shift Supervisor to replace the former Communication Supervisor position that no longer exists. He intends to make this decision on or about May 19, 2010 after the Oral Board interview, consisting of three managers in the communications field from outside the County, which convenes on the 18<sup>th</sup>. O. Smith explained that this appointment will result in a shift rebid and it is expected that that the schedules of several dispatchers will change. He requested that the Commissioners please hold a special Commissioners Court Session as soon after the 19<sup>th</sup> as possible, since the scheduling for the Communications Center from June-on will need to be changed. He apologized for not being present, but had to attend an EMD Quality Assurance meeting the same time as today’s Commissioners Court session. The Commissioners agreed to call a special court session as soon as possible after O. Smith notifies them.
3. Attorney James Katsiaticas sent documentation that has filed the Deeds fees with Superior Court.
4. B. Arseneau pointed out the newly framed and glass-covered road maps on the walls of the Commissioners conference room and commended the Facilities Manager and Facilities Technician for making these frames.

5. A request was made for the Commissioners to proclaim May 1<sup>st</sup> as “Silver Star Banner Day” to honor the wounded and ill Soldiers of the County of Waldo and in appreciation of their families’ commitment to those soldiers.

**\*\*A. Fowler moved, W. Shorey seconded to create a proclamation designating May 1<sup>st</sup> as “Silver Star Banner Day.” Unanimous.**

6. Maine Municipal Association sent notice that they have received the application sent by Human Resources/Payroll Director Michelle Wadsworth for purchase six new chairs (one for the EMA Director, one for the Deputy District Attorney’s Office and four for the Probate Office staff, via the Safety Enhancement Grant Program.
7. The Commissioners and County Clerk received invitations to the Lincoln County celebration of 250 years of multi-County government in Maine. Commissioners Berry and Shorey would not be able to attend this, nor could the County Clerk. A. Fowler was unsure.
8. NACo (National Association of Counties) has announced that the dues for 2011 will remain the same as they have for the past four years.

#### **COMMISSIONERS’ BUSINESS:**

1. POSSIBLE CHANGES IN SUPERIOR COURTHOUSE OFFICE LAYOUT: D. Berry discussed possible changes that could be made in the office set-up in the Superior Courthouse. He believed that the State Police could move out of the building, since they have offices less than half-mile away in the Wentworth building and that this space could be utilized by the Victim/Witness Advocate. This would also mean more security for the staff working there, in being able to access one another’s offices without having to cross the hallway as they currently do. . At times the doors are left open for seconds as someone runs across the hallway, and the public may come in when they should not. He also felt that storage space could be created, as well, by perhaps using the Grand Jury room for other purposes when Grand Jury was not in session. He believed that this could all be accomplished for minimal cost. W. Shorey thought it might be nice to freshen up the inside of the building a bit in the process. Moving telephones and computers would need to be considered, along with costs related to the building itself, which would be discussed with the Facilities Manager later. The Commissioners requested that B. Arseneau speak with Penobscot County Administrator Bill Collins to learn the recent renovation project for the District Attorney’s Office was being funded, and to see if there is any grant money available for this project.

W. Shorey asked if he could be excused from the Aborn Hill Tower Ribbon Cutting Ceremony that afternoon, as he would be working hard on the Waldo County Garden Program, and the Commissioners stated that this was fine.

2. CORRECTIONS MATTERS: A. Fowler reminded others that she is opposed to the stand she mentioned earlier on the State trying to take counties’ CCA money. She is also opposed to the BOC taking the fund balance.

3. PSAP RECONFIGURATION: A. Fowler attended the recent PSAP meeting, as she is trying to get educated on that matter.

4. Sheriff's OFFICE MATTER: A. Fowler clarified that she has met with several organizations regarding the Sheriff's Office and is "putting out some feelers." There has been some interest expressed that includes Restorative Justice, Probation and Parole, and Community Crisis and Counseling. There also has been some thought about a possible clinic. "There is interest out there," she said.

**EXECUTIVE SESSION:**

**\*\*A. Fowler moved, W. Shorey seconded to enter Executive Session at 11:44 a.m. for a personnel matter to discuss position duties. Unanimous.**

**\*\*A. Fowler moved, W. Shorey seconded to exit Executive Session at 12:09 p.m. Unanimous.**

**\*\*W. Shorey moved, A. Fowler seconded to change the status of the Facilities Manager's supervisor from being the County Clerk to the Commissioners directly. Unanimous.**

**NEXT COURT SESSION:**

The next Commissioners Court Session will be during the week of May 17, 2010, or as soon as Owen Smith notifies them that he needs to meet regarding the Supervisor Day Shift position recommendation.

**\*\*A. Fowler moved, W. Shorey seconded adjourning the Commissioners Court Session at 12:10 p.m. Unanimous.**

Respectfully submitted by Barbara L. Arseneau  
Waldo County Clerk